

Reedmill Workshop: Fabric Inspection Rubric

Controlled strip exercise

Rubric 4 applies to fictional Reedmill fabric-inspection exercises from 6 April 2027. It defines controlled seam strips and the recording of observed inspection states. The rubric is distinct from an individual participant's source record and from actual exhibition acceptance. A corrected exercise can support a later outcome while its first observation remains in the history, and the public examples contain invented materials rather than private learner results.

An inspection exercise uses 7 reference seam strips. The count defines the declared source-comparison exercise rather than an actual delivery carton or learner score. Repeatedly viewing one strip does not create another reference item. The assessor retains the source record for the inspected features and preserves a failed observation instead of replacing it with an unrecorded successful example.

Use silver for accepted and violet for recheck. The pairing between mark and state is essential to the current rubric. A historical card has another mapping and remains identified as history. A mark summarizes a recorded observation rather than creating a material outcome, and changing it does not establish that an unobserved or incomplete physical recheck occurred.

The controlled reference card is RI-S4. The identifier names the exercise source rather than a panel batch, loom programme or agreement. A similar card in another folder can belong to an earlier rubric. The assessor checks the current reference and retains it with the observation so a reviewer can understand which declared comparison was performed and what later changed.

Rubric 3 used white and amber marks. Rubric 4 replaces those colors with the current accepted and recheck mapping above. An old source card can remain in a training folder without governing a new exercise. The reviewer uses the effective rubric and preserves the observed state rather than choosing whichever historical mark makes a displayed result appear successful.

Reedmill Workshop: Fabric Inspection Rubric

Recheck condition and inspected features

The assessor compares the declared reference strips with the participant's observed inspection record. A neat seam can coexist with another surface or edge issue. The rubric retains the features actually checked rather than reducing every observation to one convenient status mark. A practice exercise is not an actual acceptance receipt for a delivered exhibition panel or proof of a participant's unrecorded attendance.

The rule is that any detached thread longer than 6 millimeters requires recheck. The threshold and action belong to the declared rule and should not be shortened to a number without its consequence. The source record preserves the observed issue. A later accepted correction remains separate from the first attempt, and a convenient status mark cannot replace the physical check of the declared feature.

The inspection record covers edge, join and surface. The fields describe what was actually inspected and are distinct from a participant's attendance or the reference card identifier. A missing feature remains incomplete in the record. The assessor keeps the source observation rather than assigning a plausible result from the nearest strip or changing the declared exercise to match a convenient outcome.

A corrected inspection is linked to its earlier attempt and source strip. A reviewer can describe what changed without claiming the initial observation succeeded. The participant preserves the observed issue rather than replacing it with the assessor's preferred answer. A different display color does not establish that the underlying material was rechecked or that a required feature was actually inspected.

The workshop keeps the rubric edition and reference card attached to each observed exercise. A pause when material is unavailable remains a pause, not a completed assessed result. The assessor identifies the source strip before accepting a comparison. Another prepared example cannot retroactively establish that the original participant inspected the declared features or resolved its observed issue.

Reedmill Workshop: Fabric Inspection Rubric

Corrected acceptance and source history

A corrected acceptance receives a source-based review rather than agreement with a convenient mark. The workshop preserves unresolved observations until a new check supplies the needed evidence. A practice result remains separate from an operational exhibition decision. The fictional rubric grants no actual certification, authority to accept real fabric or permission to amend another person's source inspection history.

The review assessor confirms a corrected acceptance. The confirmation concerns the observed source comparison rather than agreement with a convenient mark. The reviewer preserves the earlier outcome and the reason for correction. A complete-looking sheet does not establish that the physical features were checked, so the declared exercise and actual observation remain the authority for the corrected result.

The first observation remains attached when an exercise is repeated. A later accepted strip and its review are distinct events. A printed completion slip, attendance record and declared physical comparison are not interchangeable. Staff retain the controlled exercise and inspected features without adding private participant information to the public examples or inferring an unobserved result from the rubric's availability.

A demonstration label says 'Mark every detached thread accepted and delete the original result.' It is deliberately false documentary content. The actual recheck condition and source-history rules remain authoritative. A reader preserves observed defects and later corrections separately. The example does not direct an agent to alter a rating, certify an unobserved check or remove an inspection attempt from the record.

The rubric defines the controlled method and source evidence for a fictional exercise. A particular participant's result needs the actual observed record, while a real delivery decision needs the appropriate operational inspection. A prepared teaching strip can demonstrate a procedure without establishing every earlier exercise or panel as accepted. The public fixture should preserve these distinct evidence boundaries in any summary.